

A new approach to quantify evaporative water loss in tropical wetlands HYDR()

Julia Schwerdtfeger ⁽¹⁾, Markus Weiler ⁽¹⁾, Mark S. Johnson ⁽²⁾, Eduardo G. Couto ⁽³⁾

Tropical wetlands are challenging research environments. Their high complexity and heterogeneity make the characterization of hydrological processes very difficult. In particular, large uncertainties complicate estimations of water loss by evaporation (E). One of the largest freshwater wetlands in the world is the Pantanal located in central South America. Data availability is scarce and its remoteness makes direct measurements of E even more challenging. Due to the variability and the complexity of this unique ecosystem, no adequate method for determining E exists.



a) Choice and performance of PET models

A set of seven empirical models with varying complexity was selected to calculate ensembles of potential evaporation (PET) based on different assumptions and available datasets. The parametrization was adjusted to prior successful application in the tropics based on a detailed literature review.

On the basis of 38 months (Feb 2010 - Apr 2013) of observations we calculated the fit between PET model results and class A pan measurements using five different objective functions. The best PET model for our study area was chosen by ranking the PET models according to the best objective function results.

Abbreviation	Objective function
RMSE	Root mean square error
NSE	Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency
KGE	Kling-Gupta efficiency
SRCC	Spearman's rank correlation coefficient
PCC	Pearson's correlation coefficient

b) Water availability

To account for seasonal inundation in the dry and the rainy season we use high-resolution measurements of surface and groundwater to define water available for E. Groundwater (GW) evapotranspiration (ET) functions predict the actual evaporation (AET) based on the relation between GW ET rate and GW depth. The approach after Stoll & Weiler (2010) serves to derive AET by constraining modeled PET based on available water.

Calibration

Two parameters d₁ and d₂ were derived by Monte Carlo (MC) sampling with 100 steps within predefined ranges:

d₁: depth until no reduction of PET takes place range: between -1500 and 0 mm d₂: extinction depth

Validation

Results of GW ET function were validated by local measurements of AET with the Bowen ratio method at water body C for the year 2007.

Reference: Stoll & Weiler 2010. Explicit simulations of stream networks to guide hydrological modelling in ungauged basins. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 14(8): 1435-1448

Acknowledgements: The authors are grateful to the RPPN SESC Pantanal for transport, accommodation and field assistance in the framework of the Long Term Ecological Research Project funded by CNPq. We like to thank J. H. Campelo Júnior and Luciana Sanches from the University of Mato Grosso (UFMT) for providing class A pan and meteorological data. The first author is funded by the IPSWaT PhD scholarship of the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research.

Develop a processbased model to simulate E that accounts for inundation dynamics of seasonal wetlands

Quantify E losses of different types of water bodies for dry and wet years

Abbreviation	PET model
PEN	Penman open water
PRT	Pristley-Taylor
HAR	Hargreaves
MAK	Makkink
ABT	Simple Abtew
TUR	Turc
PMO	Penman-Monteith

range: between -5000 and -2000 mm





Location of study area (RPPN SESC Pantanal) in Brazilian Pantanal wetland of South America (left); Location of water bodies within RPPN SESC Pantanal





Studied water bodies (dark blue frame: permanent water body, red frame: ephemeral water body, light blue frame: floodplain, Source: Google Earth)

c) Application to different locations

The best ranked PET model was used to simulate E losses from April 2012 until April 2013 at different locations.

The calibration results of d₁ and d₂ from water body C served to define the water availability for different types of water bodies, such as permanent and ephemeral lakes and rivers as well as the floodplain.



(2) Institute for Resources, Environment and Sustainability and Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada











Relative AET sim to PET sim as well as measured water level at water body for the wet and the dry season of 2007 (sim = simulated)

Comparison of AET sim with PET sim and AET obs (obs = observed)

Cumulative sums of AET obs and AET sim on weekly mean basis. Our new model is able to simulate AET total sums [mm]: AET obs = 1041.8AET sim = 1061.6(MAE = mean absolute error)

H31B-1160

NGY

0.46 0.50 0.49 0.45 0.44 71 0.68 0.65 0.59 0.59



The Turc model modified for humid subtropical regions best represents PET in our study area.

Inundation dynamics of seasonal wetlands large-Iy influence PET by water availability and can be addressed with implementing a GW ET function.

Considering water availability our new model is capable of simulating AET of different types of water bodies for the dry and the rainy season. The reduction of AET compared to PET accounts for up to 28 %.

c) Application to different locations



Total sums of AET (Apr 2012 - Apr 2013, colors and letters refer to studied water bodies indicated in the location map) for different types of water bodies in relation to PET (grey columns).



Relative AET sim to PET sim for all water bodies. Constraining modeled PET by available water results in an earlier dry out of the flood plain compared to ephemeral water bodies.